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Reporting the sensitivity of Laser Induced Fluorescence instruments used for HO$_2$ detection to an interference from RO$_2$ radicals and introducing a novel approach that enables HO$_2$ and certain RO$_2$ types to be selectively measured

L. K. Whalley$^{1,2}$, M. A. Blitz$^{1,2}$, M. Desservettaz$^1$, P.W. Seakins$^{1,2}$ & D. E. Heard$^{1,2}$

[1] School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

Abstract

Laboratory studies have revealed that alkene-derived RO$_2$ and longer-chain alkane-derived RO$_2$ ($>C_3$) radicals rapidly convert to HO$_2$ and then to OH in the presence of NO in a Fluorescence Assay by Gas Expansion (FAGE) detection cell [Fuchs et al., 2011]. Three different FAGE cells that have been used to make ambient measurements of OH and HO$_2$ in the University of Leeds ground-based instrument have been assessed to determine the sensitivity of each cell, when operating in HO$_2$ detection mode, to RO$_2$ radicals. The sensitivity to this interference was found to be highly dependent on cell design and operating parameters. Under the operating conditions employed during fieldwork undertaken in the Borneo rainforest in 2008, an OH yield of 17% was experimentally determined for both ethene- and isoprene-derived RO$_2$ radicals. The high pumping capacity of this system, resulting in a short residence time in the cell, coupled with poor mixing of NO into the ambient air-stream for the titration of HO$_2$ to OH effectively minimised this potential interference. An OH yield of 46% was observed for ethene-derived RO$_2$ radicals when a smaller detection cell was used, in which the mixing of NO into the ambient air was improved and the cell residence times were much longer. For a newly developed RO$_2$LIF cell, used for detection of HO$_2$ and RO$_2$ radicals, when running in HO$_2$ mode an OH yield of 95% was observed for ethene-derived RO$_2$ radicals.
In experiments in which conditions ensured the conversion of RO$_2$ to OH was complete, the yields of OH from a range of different RO$_2$ species agreed well with model predictions based on the Master Chemical Mechanism version 3.2. For ethene and isoprene derived RO$_2$ species, the relative sensitivity of FAGE was found to be close to that for HO$_2$, with an OH yield of 100% and 92% respectively. For the longer-chain or cyclic-alkane-derived RO$_2$ radicals (> C$_3$), model predicted OH yields were highly dependent upon temperature. A model predicted OH yield of 74% at 298 K and 36% at 255 K were calculated for cyclohexane derived RO$_2$ radicals, and an experimental yield of 38% was observed indicating that the temperature within the cell was below ambient owing to the supersonic expansion of the airstream in the low pressure cell.

These findings suggest that observations of HO$_2$ by some LIF instruments worldwide may be higher than the true value if the instruments were sensitive to these RO$_2$ species. If this is the case, it becomes necessary to compare atmospheric chemistry model simulations to HO$_2^*$ observations, where HO$_2^*$ = [HO$_2$]+$\sum$\alpha_i$[RO$_2i$]$ and $\alpha_i$ is the mean fractional contribution of the RO$_2$ species that interferes (RO$_2i$). This methodology, however, relies on model simulations of speciated RO$_2$ radicals, as instrumentation to make speciated RO$_2$ measurements does not currently exist. Here we present an approach that enables the concentration of HO$_2$ and RO$_2i$ to be selectively determined by varying the concentration of NO injected into a FAGE cell. Measurements of [HO$_2$] and [RO$_2i$] taken in London are presented.

1 Introduction

OH and HO$_2$ radicals, collectively termed HO$_x$, together with RO$_2$ radicals, control the oxidative chemistry in the atmosphere, being responsible for the transformation of primary emissions into secondary pollutants such as NO$_2$, O$_3$ and particulates. OH radicals control the lifetime of some greenhouse gases (e.g. CH$_4$), the production of acidic species (e.g. H$_2$SO$_4$) and aerosol precursors such as oxygenated volatile organic compounds. Understanding the behaviour of free-radicals in the atmosphere is of paramount importance in understanding the lifetimes of pollutants and hence the spatial scales of their transport. Predictive models for future air quality and climate change contain complex chemical schemes, and comparison with measurements of free-radicals (the concentrations of which are controlled only by local chemistry and not by transport) in the present atmosphere constitutes one of the best validations of these schemes [Heard and Pilling, 2003]. OH and HO$_2$ radicals in the
troposphere have been measured since the early 1990s using laser induced fluorescence (LIF) spectroscopy at low pressure (Fluorescence Assay by Gas Expansion, or the FAGE technique) originally developed by Hard et al. (1979), (1984). The technique employs 308 nm radiation, produced using a variety of laser technologies, to excite OH radicals, which fluoresce; this emission (also at 308 nm) is detected and used to quantify OH. It is also possible to simultaneously detect HO₂ in a second fluorescence cell, by chemical conversion to OH through reaction with NO and subsequent detection by LIF. The technique has been employed by several groups worldwide for the detection of OH and HO₂ (Hofzumahaus et al., 1996; Mather et al., 1997; Kanaya et al., 1999; Creasey et al., 2001; Faloona et al., 2001; Hanisco et al., 2002; Holland et al., 2003; Heard and Pilling, 2003; Stone et al., 2012).

Specific to this work, the Leeds ground-based FAGE instrument has been operational since 1996 and has detected OH and HO₂ under a variety of conditions ranging from urban (Heard et al., 2004) to clean marine (Whalley et al., 2010). Although the FAGE technique represents an extremely sensitive (typical OH detection limits are in the low to mid 10⁵ molecule cm⁻³) (Heard and Pilling, 2003) and selective method for OH and HO₂ detection, ambient HOₓ concentrations are themselves extremely low (OH concentrations are typically a few 10⁶ molecule cm⁻³) (Stone et al., 2012), thus, care needs to be taken to ensure that any measurement is not biased by any chemical or spectral interference.

A well-documented example of an OH interference comes from the earliest tropospheric LIF instruments (Davis et al., 1981; Ortgies et al., 1980; Shirinzadeh et al., 1987), which used off-resonant pulsed laser excitation of the OH radical at 282 nm, via the A²Σ⁺(v’=1) ← X²Πᵢ(v”=0) transition. These instruments were found to suffer from a considerable interference from laser-generated OH formed by the laser photolysis of ambient ozone and subsequent reaction of O(¹D) with ambient water vapour:

\[ \text{O}_3 + \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{O}(¹D) + \text{O}_2 \quad \text{(R1)} \]

\[ \text{O}(¹D) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{OH} \quad \text{(R2)} \]

The use of OH detection at lower pressure (reducing [H₂O] and hence the rate of R2), lower laser energy per pulse (the OH artefact signal depends on the square of the laser energy) and switching to excitation at 308 nm (the H₂O/O₃ interference is 30 times lower than at 282 nm) almost completely overcame this problem. Holland et al. (2003), however, observed an
interference in the presence of ozone and water vapour that appeared to be a dark reaction on
the walls of their detection cell which produced a source of HO$_2$ radicals; the authors report a
signal equivalent to $5.4 \times 10^7$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ of HO$_2$ in the presence of 50 ppbv O$_3$ and at a
relative humidity of 60%. This interference has been characterised in detail and is subtracted
from their ambient HO$_2$ measurements.

In the presence of the added NO used to convert HO$_2$ to OH inside the fluorescence cell, and
hence enable HO$_2$ to be measured, organic peroxy radicals (RO$_2$) also have the potential to be
chemically converted to OH also via:

\[
\text{RO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{RO} + \text{NO}_2 \quad (\text{R3})
\]

\[
\text{RO} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{R-} \cdot \text{O} \quad (\text{R4})
\]

\[
\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 \quad (\text{R5})
\]

Due to the low pressure employed in FAGE detection, however, R4 is slow (~12 s$^{-1}$ for CH$_3$O
at 1 Torr) and, given the very short residence time in FAGE between NO injection and the
detection region of typically just a few milliseconds or less [Creasey et al., 1997b], it was
assumed, until recently, that RO$_2$ radicals were not converted to OH to any large extent. In
support of this, Ren et al. [2004] reported no interference upon introduction of C$_1$ – C$_4$ alkane
derived RO$_2$ radicals in the Penn. State FAGE system, and concluded that there was no
evidence of any significant interferences for OH or HO$_2$ measurements in the atmosphere,
including in highly polluted urban environments. Only recently has an interference from
alkene and aromatic derived RO$_2$ species been reported [Fuchs et al., 2011]. Unlike alkane-
derived RO$_2$ species which are formed via H-atom abstraction from the parent alkane and
subsequent addition of O$_2$ (R6), the major pathway to alkene-derived RO$_2$ formation is via
OH addition across the double bond followed by O$_2$ addition (R7):

\[
\text{RH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{RO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (\text{R6})
\]

\[
\text{R} = \text{R'} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{R(OH)} - \text{R'}\text{O}_2 \quad (\text{R7})
\]

\[
\text{R(OH)} - \text{R'}\text{O}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{R(OH)} - \text{R'}\text{O} + \text{NO}_2 \quad (\text{R8})
\]

\[
\text{R(OH)} - \text{R'}\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{R(OH)} - \text{R'}\cdot\text{H} \text{O} + \text{HO}_2 \quad (\text{R9})
\]
The β-hydroxyalkylperoxy radical formed reacts with NO to form the β-hydroxyalkoxy radical (R8) which can either react with O₂ (R9) or decompose to a hydroxyalkyl radical (R10) which then reacts rapidly with O₂ to form a carbonyl and HO₂ (R11). Compared to the slow RO + O₂ reaction \( k = 1.65 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \), for R = CH₃, R9 \cite{Orlando et al., 2003}, decomposition and subsequent reaction of the hydroxyalkyl radical (CH₂OH) with O₂ is fast \( k = 9.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3\text{molecule}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \) \cite{Atkinson et al., 1997}. Fuchs et al. \cite{2011} found, due to this rapid decomposition pathway, that RO₂ species formed from alkene and aromatic precursors were detected as OH with relative sensitivities greater than 80% with respect to that for detection of HO₂ in their FAGE system. The level of the interference was found to be highly dependent upon the NO concentration injected and reaction time between injection and OH detection, which was varied by Fuchs et al., suggesting that other FAGE instruments with different cell designs and operational parameters may display different sensitivities towards this interference. FAGE cells used for airborne HO₂ measurements tend to have longer inlets to extend through the fuselage of the aircraft and, hence, sampled air tends to have longer residence times in these cell types compared to cells used solely for ground measurements. Very recently, Mao et al. \cite{2012} reported an average RO₂ sensitivity of ~60% with respect to that for HO₂ for a selection of alkene-derived RO₂ species in the Penn. State FAGE instrument, whilst Vaughan et al. \cite{2012} reported a sensitivity to ethene-derived RO₂ radicals of 40% with respect to that for HO₂ for the University of Leeds aircraft FAGE instrument \cite{Commame et al., 2010}. Ultimately, the measurement bias on the HO₂ concentrations reported from past field studies will depend upon the individual FAGE instruments utilised (because of variations in key operating parameters such as residence time) and the concentration and speciation of RO₂ present. Many FAGE groups now report HO₂* for comparison with atmospheric chemistry box models \cite{Lu et al., 2012} where HO₂* = [HO₂]+∑ᵢαᵢ[RO₂ᵢ], and αᵢ is the mean fractional contribution of the RO₂ species that interfere (RO₂ᵢ) in a particular instrument which has been determined experimentally.

Together with an HO₂ interference, FAGE measurements of OH are reported to have an interference for one instrument type in forested environments \cite{Mao et al., 2012}. The authors postulate that OH may be generated in their FAGE cell in the presence of ozone and alkenes,
with laser-generated OH within the cell being ruled out. Similar to the HO$_2$ interference reported here, this OH interference may be dependent upon the particular design of this FAGE cell, for example the residence time between sampling and detection and, as such, the extent that other OH measurements suffer from this interference is unknown, meaning that it is critical that a set of standardised experiments are performed on different FAGE cell types used for ambient detection of OH to assess the extent of any interference. Good agreement between two independent OH measurements made using Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) and LIF was observed during a series of experiments performed in the SAPHIR atmospheric simulation chamber under a range of atmospheric conditions (Fuchs et al., 2012; Fuchs et al., 2013) suggesting that the Julich FAGE system, at least, does not suffer an interference when detecting OH under the conditions studied.

In this paper we report results from interference studies performed using the University of Leeds ground-based FAGE instrument (Creasey et al., 1997a, Whalley et al., 2010) measuring in HO$_2$ mode (NO added to the detection cell) and discuss the likely impact of the RO$_2$ interference on previous field studies. We also compare absolute yields of OH from alkene-derived and higher alkane-derived RO$_2$ species in the presence of NO with MCMv3.2 recommendations, where experimental conditions allowed reactions to proceed to completion.

2 Experimental

HO$_2$ and RO$_2$ radicals were generated prior to FAGE detection by two different methods: a steady-state turbulent flow tube reactor calibrated for absolute radical concentrations and a time-resolved laser flash photolysis system. Each method will be described in turn.

2.1 Steady state experiments

The FAGE calibration system (described in detail by Commane et al. (2010)) acts as a turbulent flow reactor and generates known and equal quantities of OH and HO$_2$ radicals by the 184.9 nm photolysis of H$_2$O vapour by a Hg penray lamp in a humidified air stream (R12 – 13):

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{OH} \]  \hspace{1cm} (R12)

\[ \text{H} + \text{O}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{M}} \text{HO}_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (R13)
With knowledge of the product of the lamp flux and irradiation exposure time past the lamp (determined by N$_2$O actinometry [Commame et al., 2010]) the concentration of OH and HO$_2$ may be determined; typical radical concentrations generated by this method range from $<10^7$ – $10^9$ molecule cm$^{-3}$. RO$_2$ radicals (in the presence of HO$_2$ from R13) were generated by introducing the parent hydrocarbon into the FAGE calibration system approximately 2.5 cm after the penray lamp. The OH generated in the calibration photolysis region reacted rapidly with the hydrocarbon introduced, (R6), generating RO$_2$ radicals. To assess the magnitude of any HO$_2$ interference suffered during previous ambient field measurements, a number of individual peroxy radical species were generated and introduced into three different fluorescence cells (Fig. 1) which have been used during field deployments by the Leeds group (further details on the fieldwork FAGE detection cells tested are given in section 2.1.1 below). The peroxy radicals tested were derived from methane, propane, ethene, isoprene, toluene, cyclohexane and methanol. A small flow (~10 - 150 Standard Cubic Centimetre per Minute, SCCM) of a dilute (0.1 – 5%) hydrocarbon mix in N$_2$ (ethene, isoprene, toluene, cyclohexane or methanol) or a 100% hydrocarbon flow of propane (10 SCCM) or methane (500 SCCM) was introduced into a 20 – 40 Standard Litre per Minute (SLM) humidified airstream approximately 5 cm before the exit of the calibration tube. The residence time within the calibration flow tube (~ 10 ms at 40 SLM) was sufficient to ensure complete conversion of OH to RO$_2$ before being sampled in the fluorescence cells. In the case of ethene, at an initial concentration of $3.1 \times 10^{14}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, it takes ~1 ms for complete conversion of OH to RO$_2$, using a rate coefficient, $k_{C_2H_4+OH}$, equal to $2.86 \times 10^{-11}$ molecule$^{-1}$cm$^3$s$^{-1}$ [Cleary et al., 2006]. This could be experimentally verified by observing the complete loss of the OH signal upon addition of the hydrocarbons when no NO was added to the FAGE expansion cells; this complete loss of OH signal was observed even for the slowest reacting hydrocarbon species, methane.

2.1.1 FAGE detection cells

The University of Leeds ground-based FAGE instrument described in detail elsewhere [Whalley et al., 2010] was assessed to determine the magnitude of the HO$_2$ interference from selected RO$_2$ species under configurations employed in two recent field studies. The first, the Oxidants and Particle Photochemical Processes (OP3) [Hewitt et al., 2010] which took place...
in the Borneo rainforest (Whalley et al., 2011) and the second, the Hill Cap Cloud Thuringer
– 2010 (HCCT-2010) (Whalley et al., 2013) which aimed to quantify the loss of radicals to
cloud droplets.

The operational parameters of the different FAGE fluorescence cells considered are quite
different and are summarised in Table 1. During OP3, one 22 cm internal diameter
cylindrical, stainless steel fluorescence cell (cell A) was used to make sequential
measurements of OH and HO$_2$ (Fig. 1a). Air was drawn into the cell via a 5 cm tall, 2.54 cm
diameter turret through a 1 mm diameter pinhole nozzle in a flat plate (0.1 mm thickness).
The cell was maintained at approximately 0.9 Torr using a Roots blower backed by a rotary
pump (Leybold). The cell was connected to the pump system via a 10 cm ID, 5 m length
stainless steel flexible hose. NO was injected into the cell 7.5 cm below the nozzle via a
custom-built injection ring containing four injection points, spaced 4 cm apart, and made
from 1.6 mm (ID) tubing in a square arrangement located around the air stream. 50 SCCM
NO was injected into the cell via a computer-controlled solenoid valve (Metron
Semiconductors) and calibrated mass flow controller (MKS 1179A, range 0 – 50 SCCM)
during the second half of the collection period when the laser was tuned to the OH transition.
As only one cell was used for sequential detection of OH and HO$_2$, the conditions were
optimised to maximise the sensitivity towards OH. Under these conditions the conversion of
HO$_2$ to OH was only ~ 10%, most likely due to poor mixing of the NO into the ambient air
flow caused by the particular flow characteristics created by the combination of the 1 mm
diameter pinhole nozzle and the pressure and pumping speeds employed. The 10%
conversion of HO$_2$ to OH determined assumes that there is no preferential loss of either
radical in the calibration system, i.e. that the concentration of OH and HO$_2$ are equal as they
enter the FAGE detection cell. This assumption has previously been verified by addition of
sufficient CO to the calibration system so as to rapidly convert all the OH to HO$_2$ (R16) and
the HO$_2$ signal was observed to double in the presence of CO. The radicals sampled, or
converted from HO$_2$, were electronically excited at 308 nm, approximately 13 cm below the
sampling nozzle using a tuneable, 5 KHz pulse repetition frequency laser (Nd:YAG pumped
Ti:Sapphire, Photonics Industries) with the fluorescence at the same wavelength detected
perpendicular to the laser axis by a filtered (Barr Associates filter, transmission > 50% at 308
nm) channel photo-multiplier (CPM, Perkin Elmer) and gated-photon counting.
During the HCCT-2010 campaign a single FAGE fluorescence cell was used to measure both radical species (Cell B). The cell was operated from the top of a 22 m high tower to co-locate with hill-cap cloud measurements and ensure that the radical measurements were performed in full cloud when it had formed. As a result of these requirements a smaller cell, based on the University of Leeds aircraft FAGE fluorescence cell [Commane et al., 2010], was used to make sequential measurements of OH and HO$_2$ (Fig. 1b); operational details are provided in Table 1. NO (10 SCCM) was injected into this cell via 3.2 mm ID stainless tubing inserted into the centre of the ambient air stream. This configuration resulted in a high conversion of HO$_2$ to OH (~90%). Ambient air was drawn into the cell through a 1 mm diameter pinhole nozzle into a 4.5 cm (ID) stainless steel cylinder. The cell was held at 1 Torr and was connected to the roots-rotary pump system described above via 30 m of flexible hosing (5 cm ID). Laser light was delivered from the Nd:YAG pumped Ti:Sapphire laser system to the cell via a 30 m fibre optic. The distance between sampling nozzle and detection was 18 cm with the NO injected ~8 cm below the nozzle.

The third FAGE cell (Cell C) tested for an RO$_2$ interference was a recently developed fluorescence cell designed for the detection of RO$_2$ radicals, alongside OH and HO$_2$, using the ‘RO$_x$LIF’ methodology outlined by Fuchs et al. [2008]. The RO$_2$ cell is operated in two modes, providing a measurement of the sum of OH+HO$_2$ in HO$_x$ mode and the sum of OH+HO$_2$+RO$_2$ in RO$_x$ mode. Experiments were run on this third FAGE cell to determine the magnitude of the HO$_2$ interference suffered from a variety of RO$_2$ species in the HO$_x$ mode.

A similar FAGE fluorescence cell as the one described above (Fig. 1a, cell A) was modified by coupling it to a differentially pumped reaction tube (held at approximately 30 Torr) to allow for conversion of RO$_2$ radicals to OH (Fig. 1c). The reaction tube is an 83 cm high, 6.4 cm diameter aluminium tube which has been coated with halocarbon wax to minimise radical wall losses. Ambient air (7.5 SLM) is drawn into the reaction tube through a 1 mm diameter pinhole drilled into a thin (1 mm thickness), flat plate aluminium inlet nozzle. In HO$_x$ mode, 250 SCCM of CO (5% in N$_2$, BOC) is flowed into the centre of the reaction tube just beneath the inlet (~ 2 cm below) via a 6.4 mm (ID) stainless steel tube. Hydroxyl radicals are converted to HO$_2$ by reaction with CO (R14) as they pass through the reaction tube. Air (~ 5 SLM) from the reaction tube is sampled by the FAGE detection cell (held at approximately 1.5 Torr) via a 4 mm diameter pinhole nozzle sat on a 5 cm tall turret. Ambient HO$_2$ (and
ambient OH which was converted to HO₂ in the reaction tube) is titrated to OH by NO injected into the cell 7.5 cm below the nozzle and detected by LIF; 100 SCCM of NO was injected into this fluorescence cell to maximise the conversion of HO₂ to OH. In ROₓ mode, 25 SCCM of a 500 ppmv NO standard in N₂ (BOC) was added to the CO flow to promote conversion of RO₂ to OH (R3 − R5); the excess CO present rapidly converts OH to HO₂ (R14) and helps to minimise the overall loss of the radicals to the walls of the reaction tube. Ambient RO₂, HO₂ and OH radicals (converted to HO₂ in the reaction tube) enter the FAGE detection cell, are reconverted to OH by NO and detected as described above.

\[
\text{OH} + \text{CO} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \quad \text{(R14)}
\]

2.2 Time-resolved experiments using laser flash photolysis

The time-resolved setup was based on a laser-induced pump and probe OH reactivity technique developed by Sadanaga et al. (2004) which uses pulsed 266 nm light to photolyse ozone in a flow tube to generate O(\(^1\)D) and, by the subsequent reaction of O(\(^1\)D) with H₂O vapour, OH radicals (R1 − 2). The flow tube used here was 173 cm in length with an internal diameter of 5 cm; a schematic of the experimental set-up is shown in Figure 2. The total flow was typically 11 SLM and the pressure in the flow tube was 300 Torr, which was controlled by a valve throttling a rotary pump (Leybold xxx). It should be noted that at the pressures employed in the experiments, the high pressure limit of any pressure-dependent reactions taking place in the flow tube will have been reached and the results presented will be applicable to atmospheric conditions. A FAGE cell was located approximately halfway along the flow tube, held perpendicular to the flow tube, and sampled the gas flow through a 1 mm diameter pinhole nozzle that was located within 1 cm of the central axis of the flow tube. At the flow rates and pressure employed, the residence time in the flow tube before sampling was ~ 4 s. A YAG laser (Spectron SL803) was used to generate ~10 mJ pulse⁻¹ of 266 nm photolysis radiation with a 10 ns pulse width. The laser beam profile was shaped using a Galilean telescope to produce a collimated beam with a diameter of ~2 cm and directed along the flow tube such that the outer edge just illuminated the pinhole – gauged by the silhouette of the beam profile at the end of the tube.

The FAGE expansion cell was pumped by a rotary / roots blower pump combination (Leybold xxx/yyy), which reduced the pressure in the expansion cell to 1 Torr, and typically sampled about 30% of the total flow of the flow tube with the remaining flow evacuated from
the flow tube via the rotary pump. The expansion cell was 4.5 cm in internal diameter with 
the fluorescence detection axis ~ 23.5 cm from the pinhole. An excimer (Lambda Physik 
LPX105) pumped dye laser (Lambda Physik FL3002) operating on Rhodamine 6G generated 
visible light which was frequency doubled to 307.844 nm and used to probe the OH radical 
via the $Q_1(1)$ (A-X) $(0-0)$ transition; typical pulse energies and pulse lengths were 0.2 mJ 
pulse$^{-1}$ and 20 ns respectively. The radiation was directed into the detection axis via a baffled 
entrance arm and the fluorescence was captured by a filtered (Barr Associates), gated CPM 
(Perkin Elmer) mounted at right-angles to the laser beam. The pump and probe lasers were 
typically operated with a pulse repetition frequency of 2.5 Hz.

A LabView™ program controlled the experiment via a GPIB interfaced to a delay generator 
(Berkley Nucleonics Corporation, BNC 555) and an oscilloscope (LeCroy LT264). The time 
between the photolysis and probe lasers was controlled by the delay generator, and OH time 
profiles were built-up by scanning the delay between the lasers over 200 points. At each time 
point the OH fluorescence signal was integrated across its entire decay on the oscilloscope 
before being transferred for storage on the computer.

Gases were introduced to the flow tube via calibrated mass flow controllers (MKS). Nitrogen 
(10 SLM), was passed through a water bubbler (HPLC grade) and then into a manifold to mix 
with oxygen (1 SLM), ozone (≤ 10 standard cubic centimetres - SCCM) and a reagent gas (≤ 
40 SCCM), before admission into the flow tube. Although the $O_2$ mixing ratio of the total 
flow was only ~0.1, this was sufficient to drive completely RO$_2$ formation in the flow tube 
(and OH formation within the FAGE cell in the presence of NO), and so behaves in the same 
way as an $O_2$ fraction of 0.2. Ozone from an ozone generator (Easelec, ELO-3G) was used 
directly to fill a 5 L Pyrex bulb, and then pressurized with nitrogen (up to 2 bar) to give 
concentrations between 1 – 3%. The reagent gases, methanol, n-butane, n-pentane, ethene, 
isoprene and cyclohexane were degassed by freeze pump thawing, and known concentrations 
were prepared in Pyrex 5 L bulbs. Pressure gauges (MKS) were used to determine the bulb 
concentrations and the pressure in the flow tube and FAGE cell.

The OH generated (approximately $10^{10}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$) via the photolysis of ozone in the 
presence of H$_2$O vapour (R1 – 2) reacted rapidly with the added reagents (at a rate of $>1000$ 
s$^{-1}$) in the presence of $O_2$ forming peroxy radicals (R6) or in the case of methanol, HO$_2$ 
formed via the following reactions:
OH reacts with methanol, predominantly forming CH$_3$OH (reported yields of 0.75 – 0.85

$\text{(Atkinson et al., 2004)}$) (R15) which then rapidly reacts with O$_2$ (9.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}) to form HO$_2$ (R16). The other, minor, abstraction channel produces

CH$_3$O, which reacts slower with O$_2$ (1.92 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}) $\text{(Atkinson et al., 2004)}$
to produce HO$_2$ (R17, 18). HO$_2$ generated in the system was detected by adding nitric oxide

(NO – 99.95%, BOC) to the FAGE expansion cell (Fig. 2) to titrate to OH for subsequent

detection (R5). The NO flow, controlled by a mass flow controller (Brookes) (0 – 50 SCCM),

was injected into the centre of the FAGE cell, via 3.2 mm stainless steel tubing,

approximately 13.75 cm below the pinhole. The fluorescence signal observed when NO was

added to the expansion cell derived from OH and converted HO$_2$ (OH + αHO$_2$), where α is
equal to the titration efficiency of reaction R5, which is a function of the amount of NO
added and the contact time in the expansion cell. For complete conversion of HO$_2$ to OH in
the detection cell α will equal 1. If this is the case, in the presence and absence of methanol
there should be no overall change in the initial fluorescence signal when NO was added as the
OH lost in reaction R15 is rapidly converted to HO$_2$ in reaction R16 and then back to OH via
reaction with NO. In the time-resolved experiments, a 6 SCCM flow of NO was found to
provide the maximum conversion of HO$_2$ to OH (close to 100%).

2.3 Model comparison

The measured HO$_2$ yields from the different RO$_2$ species studied have been compared with
model predictions based on the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM) version 3.2

$\text{http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM/home.html}$ $\text{Jenkin et al., 1997}$ $\text{Carslaw et al., 1999b}$ $\text{Saunders}$

$\text{et al., 2003}$ $\text{Jenkin et al., 2003}$ $\text{Bloss et al., 2005}$. The chemical reactions which convert the

various VOC tested to OH that were incorporated in the model are listed explicitly in the
Supplementary Information (SI). The MCM makes the assumption that alkoxy radicals either
react with $O_2$ to form a carbonyl species and $HO_2$ or decompose (or in the case of the $>C_3$ alkane-derived alkoxy radicals, isomerise) to form a hydroxyalkyl radical. Within a low temperature FAGE expansion, however, in the presence of NO, the reaction of alkoxy radicals and NO may begin to compete as the rate of decomposition and isomerisation slows considerably at reduced temperatures (as discussed further in Section 4, temperatures may drop as low as 25 K within the jet and remain below ambient temperatures in the region between NO injection and detection [Creasey et al., 1997b]). To account for this, (R19) has been included in model predictions with all rate coefficients for the reaction of various RO radicals with NO taken from the review paper by Heicklen [2007].

$$RO + NO \overset{M}{\rightarrow} RONO \quad (R19)$$

For reactions between alkoxy radicals and NO which do not have reported rate coefficients, $k_{RO+NO} = 3.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, (average rate coefficient for reaction of C$_3$ – C$_5$ RO radicals with NO) was assumed. The model was initialised with the radical concentrations used and [NO] and [O$_2$] which encompassed experimental conditions within the FAGE expansion cell. The concentrations of all other intermediate species or products were initialised as zero. [NO] was varied between $1 \times 10^{13} – 1 \times 10^{15}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ depending upon the NO flow rates introduced to each of the FAGE detection cells. For the large FAGE detection cell (of the style cell A), good agreement between the model and experiment is only achieved if the concentration of NO in the jet is lower than that calculated from the initial NO injection flow rate suggesting that the mixing within the jet is poor for this cell (see section 4.2 for further details). The simultaneous rate equations were solved using an Excel based integrator, Kintecus [Ianni, 2002]. The model runs were 80 ms in duration, which provided sufficient time for complete conversion of per oxy radicals to OH under the time-resolved experimental conditions discussed above.

3 Results

3.1 RO$_2$ interferences in HO$_2$ measurements using fieldwork FAGE instrumentation

A variety of RO$_2$ species were generated in the turbulent flow reactor and introduced into the three FAGE cells, A-C (Fig. 1) described in section 2.1.1. The yield of OH from the different RO$_2$ species for the different cells is given in Table 1. The flow reactor produces OH and
the absence of a hydrocarbon \cite{Fuchs2011}. Upon addition of a hydrocarbon all the OH generated is quickly consumed (on a timescale of the order of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ s) and RO$_2$ radicals form. In the case of propane or methane, the RO$_2$ formed does not yield appreciable OH (via the formation of HO$_2$) in the FAGE expansion cells in the presence of NO (as shown by the time-resolved experiments, section 3.2, the OH yield from propane was $<4\%$), and so any fluorescence signal observed upon NO addition relates solely to the co-generated HO$_2$. The yield of OH from RO$_2$ species can be determined by comparing the fluorescence signal observed when a RO$_2$ species was present (HO$_x$ signal$_{(\text{reagent})}$) with the OH yield from HO$_2$ alone (HO$_2$ signal in the propane or methane experiments, which have no interference) using Eq. 1:

$$\text{Relative OH yield} = \frac{\text{HO}_x \text{ signal}_{(\text{reagent})} - \text{HO}_2 \text{ signal}}{\text{HO}_2 \text{ signal}}$$

(1)

The flows of hydrocarbons were adjusted so that equivalent OH reactivities ($k_{\text{HC OH} \text{[HC]}}$) for each of the hydrocarbons tested were used to ensure that any other loss of OH in the turbulent flow reactor (e.g. loss to walls) did not bias the relative yields determined.

In a number of experiments the NO concentration added to detection cell A was varied and the ratio of the OH signal observed for propane-derived RO$_2$ radicals relative to ethene-derived RO$_2$ radicals were compared and are shown in Table 1 and Figure 4. As the NO concentration was reduced the interference from alkene-derived RO$_2$ radicals decreased. By varying [NO], it becomes possible to discriminate ambient RO$_2$ radicals from ambient HO$_2$ radicals and this is discussed further in Section 4.2.

3.2 Time-resolved experiments

To determine the absolute yield of OH from different RO$_2$ radicals in the presence of NO, a range of RO$_2$ radicals (or HO$_2$ in the case of methanol) were generated by the addition of different parent hydrocarbons to the flow tube described in Section 2.2 coupled to a FAGE cell in which there was sufficient time for complete conversion of RO$_2$ to OH. The time-resolved flow tube experiments were not performed on a field instrument used for ambient HO$_2$ detection and so the purpose of these experiments, rather than gauge the level of interference suffered, was to experimentally determine the yield of OH from a range of RO$_2$ radicals in the presence of NO to compare to MCM recommendations. The time-resolved
experiments enabled long reaction times to be reached, allowing the conversion of \( \text{RO}_2 \) to \( \text{OH} \) to proceed to completion, and providing a measure of the asymptotic yields of \( \text{HO}_2 \).

The time-resolved \( \text{OH} \) signals observed for a selection of \( \text{RO}_2 \) species tested are shown in Figure 3, and Table 2 summarises the \( \text{OH} \) yields for all \( \text{RO}_2 \) investigated. In the absence of reagent, an \( \text{OH} \) signal was observable (upper panel, Fig. 3) which decayed at a rate of \(~25 \text{ s}^{-1}\). This loss can be attributed to reaction of \( \text{OH} \) with ozone that was present and diffusion of the radical out of the photolysis beam area. Upon addition of a reagent to the flow tube, \( \text{OH} \) was converted to \( \text{RO}_2 \) at \( >1000 \text{ s}^{-1} \). This rapid conversion ensured that the different \( \text{RO}_2 \) generated were present at the same concentration as each other (allowing relative yields to be determined) and that all the \( \text{OH} \) initially generated was consumed in the flow tube (given the residence time of 4 s) thus allowing a single exponential fit to be applied to the \( \text{RO}_2 \) signals displayed in the lower panel of Figure 3. The slow decay (\(~5 \text{ s}^{-1}\)) of the radical signal, displayed in the lower panel of Figure 3, may be attributed primarily to diffusion of the radicals out of the photolysis beam area and, to a lesser extent (no greater than \( 1 \text{ s}^{-1} \)), to radical-radical reaction.

As the initial \( \text{OH} \) concentration generated and subsequent \( \text{HO}_2 \) or \( \text{RO}_2 \) concentration generated within the flow tube were uncalibrated, the absolute \( \text{OH} \) yields within the FAGE expansion cell from the different \( \text{RO}_2 \) species were determined by comparing with the \( \text{OH} \) signal observed from \( \text{HO}_2 \) generated in the methanol experiments which has a 100% yield. An exponential function of the form: 

\[
\text{OH signal} = y_0 + A \times \exp(-B \times \text{probe delay time})
\]

was fitted to each \( \text{OH} \) temporal profile associated with the different \( \text{RO}_2 \) species investigated. To determine the relative yields of \( \text{OH} \), the ratio of the \( A \) factor for each fit relative to the \( A \) factor determined for the methanol fit was calculated using Eq. 2:

\[
\text{Relative \( \text{OH} \) yield} = \frac{A \text{ factor}_{(\text{reagent})}}{A \text{ factor}_{(\text{methanol})}}
\]

In agreement with Fuchs et al. (2011), a large \( \text{OH} \) yield from alkene-derived \( \text{RO}_2 \) radicals was observed (see Table 2) when \( \text{NO} \) was present in the FAGE cell. Smaller, but still significant, \( \text{OH} \) yields were also observed for \( \text{RO}_2 \) radicals derived from cyclohexane, \( n\)-butane and \( n\)-pentane (Table 2); the \( \text{OH} \) signal observed for propane-derived \( \text{RO}_2 \) radicals was negligible (upper limit of 4%).
In several experiments, it was found that ethene-derived RO\textsubscript{2} radicals when compared to HO\textsubscript{2} from methanol had OH yields greater than one. The formation of β-hydroxy peroxo radicals is fast in the flow tube, and, if complete RO\textsubscript{2} titration to HO\textsubscript{2} and ultimately to OH was occurring in the FAGE cell then the ratio of the OH signals observed in the presence of ethene and methanol would be expected to equal one; a value greater than one suggests incomplete conversion of methanol to HO\textsubscript{2} in the flow tube. It was observed in experiments where the Pyrex bulb containing methanol was left for a full day before use to allow for mixing rather than just a couple of hours, that yields closer to one were obtained indicating that in several of the experiments there may have been insufficient methanol reaching the flow tube owing to extremely slow mixing of the gas bulb. To ensure that the results are not biased by a possible problem with methanol, column 2, Table 2 only includes relative OH yields calculated when the methanol bulb had been left for a day or longer. As this constraint limited the amount of data available, a third column which presents the OH yields referenced with respect to ethene (calculated using Eq. 3) is also provided:

\[
\text{Relative OH yield} = \text{A factor}_{\text{reagent}} : \text{A factor}_{\text{ethene}}
\]  

(3)

4 Discussion

4.1 Time-resolved experiments. Measured and modelled HO\textsubscript{2} yields following complete conversion of RO\textsubscript{2}

Under conditions optimised for complete conversion of RO\textsubscript{2} radicals to OH in a FAGE cell with added NO, i.e. very long reaction times, the yield of HO\textsubscript{2} from a number of alkene-derived RO\textsubscript{2} species compares favourably to the MCMv3.2 predictions of the OH yield determined using Eq. 4 after a reaction time of 9.8 ms, as shown in Table 2, suggesting that the yield of HO\textsubscript{2} from other RO\textsubscript{2} species not measured here can be derived with some confidence from MCM predictions for this particular experimental set-up.

\[
\text{MCM OH yield} = \frac{\text{modelled [OH] generated}}{\text{model initialised [RO\textsubscript{2}]}}
\]  

(4)

For >C\textsubscript{3} alkane-derived RO\textsubscript{2} species, the MCM also predicts a non-zero HO\textsubscript{2} yield. For these species, reaction with NO produces an alkoxy radical which can react with O\textsubscript{2} or isomerise forming a β-hydroxyalkylperoxy radical in the presence of O\textsubscript{2}, which for the case of n-butane derived peroxo radical is:
The alkoxy radical, $\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{O}$, may also react with NO under FAGE conditions:

$$\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{O} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O} + \text{NO}_2 \quad (\text{R20})$$

$$\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{O} \xrightarrow{\text{isom}} (\text{HO})\text{C}_4\text{H}_8 \quad (\text{R21})$$

$$\text{(HO)}\text{C}_4\text{H}_8 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow (\text{HO})\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O}_2 \quad (\text{R22})$$

As shown in reactions (R8 – R11) the $\beta$-hydroxyalkylperoxy radical can react further with NO and decompose rapidly in the presence of O$_2$ to form HO$_2$. However, as seen in Table 2, the MCM over-predicts the yield of HO$_2$ at 298 K from n-pentane and cyclohexane derived peroxy radicals, and under-predicts the OH yield from n-butane-derived alkanes. The modelled to measured agreement for n-pentane and cyclohexane derived RO$_2$ radicals can be improved if the rate coefficient for isomerisation (R21) is reduced by assuming a lower temperature; it was found by varying the temperature in the model that 255 K provided the best agreement for all RO$_2$ species considered (Table 2). In the case of cyclohexane, the rate coefficient for isomerisation (taken from the MCMv3.2) decreases from $6.3 \times 10^4$ s$^{-1}$ to $2.1 \times 10^3$ s$^{-1}$ as the temperature was reduced from 298 K to 255 K. Stevens et al. [1994] report a temperature of 245 K within the Penn State FAGE instrument as an airstream enters the detection cell and accelerates to velocities of >300 ms$^{-1}$; at the laser detection axis the velocity is reduced to ~50 ms$^{-1}$ and the air temperature increases to ambient levels once more. Similarly, measurements of rotational temperatures and computational fluid dynamic (CFD) calculations performed to determine the temperature and density profiles of an airstream within a Leeds FAGE detection cell, type A (Fig 1a), suggest that air temperatures drop as low as 25 K in the first 2 cm in the detection cell beneath the pinhole as the airstream expands supersonically and reaches velocities of 750 ms$^{-1}$ before slowing and increasing back to ambient temperatures at the detection axis [Creasey et al., 1997b]. Taking these temperature profiles into account, it is expected that the mean temperature experienced between pinhole and the detection axis will be below ambient and if this is the case the rate coefficient for isomerisation will slow considerably [Orlando et al., 2003]. At lower temperatures the reaction between an alkoxy radical and NO (R19) can begin to compete with the isomerisation reaction (R21) and can, as a result, lower the overall OH yield observed from these RO$_2$ radicals. This effect reduces the agreement between the experimental and
modelled OH yield from n-butane-derived RO further suggesting that the rate coefficient for isomerisation of the C₄H₉O alkoxy radical may actually be faster than assumed in the model. There is very little information on the temperature dependence associated with the rate of β-hydroxyalkoxy decomposition in the literature. A theoretical temperature dependence for the rate of decomposition of ethene-derived β-hydroxyalkoxy radical has been reported [Kukui and Le Bras, 2001]:

$$k_{\text{decomp.}} = 1.1 \times 10^{13} \text{s}^{-1} \cdot e^{-\frac{-41.84 [\text{KJ/mole}]}{RT}}$$ (5)

When this temperature dependence is included in model calculations, assuming a temperature of 255 K, the OH yield predicted is reduced by ~ 10% from calculations assuming a temperature of 298 K (Table 2) as the rate coefficient for decomposition decreases from $5.1 \times 10^5$ s⁻¹ to $3.0 \times 10^4$ s⁻¹. Although likely to be similar to that of the ethene-derived alkoxy radical, no information on the temperature dependence of isoprene-derived alkoxy radical decomposition exists in the literature so the impact on the OH yield at reduced temperatures is not considered here.

4.1.2 Magnitude of the interference for fieldwork instruments

For the three fieldwork FAGE cells tested (Fig. 1) which have different residence times and, hence reaction times for RO₂ conversion to OH, the yield of OH from the alkene-derived RO₂ radicals was variable. For cell A, CFD calculations have demonstrated that the air stream is significantly accelerated within the cell (and, in turn, is significantly cooled), owing to the supersonic expansion after the small diameter, 1 mm, pinhole. Similar acceleration and cooling may be assumed for cell B as a 1 mm pinhole was again used. In cell C, air entered the FAGE cell through a 4 mm pinhole and so the same level of acceleration or cooling, as predicted in cell A, is not expected. For cell C, it may be expected that NO should mix reasonably well with the ambient air stream also. The best agreement between the MCM predictions and experimental results occurs if a contact time (and [NO]) of ~0.9 ms (and $1 \times 10^{14}$ molecule cm⁻³), ~1.9 ms (and $1 \times 10^{14}$ molecule cm⁻³) and ~ 70 ms (and $9.5 \times 10^{14}$ molecule cm⁻³) is assumed for cell A, cell B and cell C (Fig. 1) respectively at a temperature of 255 K for cells A and B and 298 K for cell C. For cell A, a residence time from pinhole to detection region of <1 ms has been calculated using CFD [Creasey et al., 1997b] and compares favourably to the estimated contact time of 0.9 ms (estimated from the time at
which the modelled yields best agree with the experimental relative yields, Table 1). As it is
difficult to calculate the cell residence absolutely, due to the free-jet expansion that occurs,
comparison of the yields with model predictions provides a means to gauge the time spent
between the NO injection region and detection region experimentally. Uncertainty in the
residence time may arise, however, if the NO injected into the cell does not fully mix with the
sampled air stream or if the mean temperature of the airstream is not considered or known.
Qualitatively, the extent of the interference suffered is directly proportional to residence time
within the jet and inversely proportional to the mean temperature experienced by the jet (Eq.
6). At ambient temperatures, increasing the NO concentration will lead to an increase in the
interference; at reduced temperatures, however, the impact of NO becomes more complex:
Increasing the concentration of NO will increase the rates of reactions R3 and R5 but also
increases the rate of reaction R19. For alkoxy radicals which display a strong temperature
dependence with respect to isomerisation, as is the case for the alkoxy radical derived from
cyclohexane (CHEXO), increasing NO concentrations beyond a certain concentration may
actually lead to a reduction in the level of interference observed as R19 begins to compete
effectively with R21. Model simulations looking at the yield of OH from cyclohexane-
derived RO$_2$ radicals at 255 K predict that at a residence time of 9.8 ms (time over which
time-resolved experiments were run) the yield of OH will increase with increasing [NO] until
a NO concentration of 1.2 × 10$^{14}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ is reached and then the yield will begin to
decrease as [NO] increases further. Note, if the residence time is increased, less NO is
required to achieve the maximum yield and vice versa. Under the experimental conditions
discussed in this paper the OH yield should have been directly proportional to [NO]:

$$\text{Interference} \propto \frac{\text{Residence time} \times \text{[NO]}}{\text{Temperature}}$$

(6)

Fuchs et al. [2011] observed a large under-prediction of the OH yield from cyclohexane-
derived RO$_2$ radicals in the presence of NO and suggested that the model under-prediction for
the yield of OH from this species may reflect a missing ring opening mechanism in the MCM
which could promote further HO$_2$ formation. Fuchs et al. [2011] used MCMv3.1 which did
not contain a ring opening mechanism to estimate the expected level of interference in the
Julich FAGE system. An additional degradation pathway for CHEXO which includes a ring
opening route, is included in MCMv3.2 leading to the yield of HO$_2$ (and ultimately OH,
following further reaction) from cyclohexane-derived RO$_2$ radicals approximately doubling when switching from MCMv3.1 to MCMv3.2 chemistry.

**4.2 Minimising the RO$_2$ interference further**

As highlighted in Table 1, a decrease in the amount of NO injected into cell A reduces the OH yield from ethene-derived RO$_2$ radicals. Reducing the sensitivity of the instrument to the interference, however, leads to a concomitant reduction in HO$_2$ sensitivity. As only one NO molecule is required to titrate one HO$_2$ radical to OH, whilst two or more are required for RO$_2$ to OH titration, it is possible to begin to discriminate between HO$_2$ and RO$_2$ by reducing the amount of NO mixed into the jet as shown in Figure 4. For cell A, at an NO concentration of $1 \times 10^{13}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$, approximately twenty HO$_2$ radicals titrate to OH for one RO$_2$ radical conversion to OH; determined from the ratio ‘relative OH yield (propane) : relative OH yield (ethene)’ with ‘relative OH yield’ calculated using Eq. 1. At this NO concentration the 5 minute limit of detection of the instrument for HO$_2$ will be $\sim 4 \times 10^6$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ and, although higher than detection limits from earlier campaigns (e.g. the HO$_2$ LOD during the SOAPEX campaign which took place in Cape Grim in Australia was $5.4 \times 10^5$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ for 2.5 minute integration time) [Creasey et al., 2003], the instrument remains sufficiently sensitive for ambient HO$_2$ detection with minimal RO$_2$ interference ($\sim$5%). It should be noted that agreement between the MCMv3.2 model and observations can only be achieved if it is assumed that 5.5 times less NO is mixed fully into the air sample within the FAGE cell than is actually injected. Even when a reduced [NO] is assumed, the model predicted HO$_2$:RO$_2$ ratio vs [NO] is not wholly consistent with the ratio observed experimentally. As displayed in Figure 4, the observed ratio increases slower than the model predicts as [NO] decreases (most apparent at the lowest [NO]) suggesting an enhanced RO$_2$ $\rightarrow$ OH conversion relative to HO$_2$ $\rightarrow$ OH conversion. This observation may indicate that HO$_2$ is preferentially lost in the cell compared to RO$_2$ radicals, potentially, by more efficient removal of HO$_2$ relative to RO$_2$ by H$_2$O clusters [Creasey et al., 2001]. This finding only serves to further highlight the need to experimentally determine the level of interference for each individual FAGE system and specific experimental conditions.

As demonstrated by Figure 4, by varying the amount of NO injected it is possible to switch from conditions where certain RO$_2$ types are efficiently converted to OH (NO $> 5 \times 10^{13}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$) to conditions were the conversion is poor (NO $< 1 \times 10^{13}$ molecule cm$^{-3}$). With
knowledge of the conversion efficiency of RO$_2$ and HO$_2$ at different NO concentrations,
changing the NO flow during ambient measurements can selectively provide a measurement
of the concentration of RO$_2i$ and HO$_2$ by solving simultaneous equations (E7 and E8):

$$\text{HO}_x \text{ signal}_{\text{low}[\text{NO}]} = C_{\text{HO}_x, \text{low}[\text{NO}]} \times ([\text{HO}_2] + \alpha_{\text{low}[\text{NO}]}[\text{RO}_2i])$$

$$\text{HO}_x \text{ signal}_{\text{high}[\text{NO}]} = C_{\text{HO}_x, \text{high}[\text{NO}]} \times ([\text{HO}_2] + \alpha_{\text{high}[\text{NO}]}[\text{RO}_2i])$$

where \(\text{HO}_x \text{ signal}\) is the fluorescence signal observed in cts s$^{-1}$ mW$^{-1}$, \(C_{\text{HO}_2}\) is the sensitivity
of the instrument to HO$_2$ (determined by calibration) at a particular NO flow in units of cm$^3$
molecule$^{-1}$ ct s$^{-1}$ mW$^{-1}$ and \(\alpha\) is the mean fractional contribution of RO$_2i$ at a selected [NO].

During a recent field project, the Clean air for London campaign (ClearfLo), this approach
was adopted during ambient measurements. The NO concentration injected into a FAGE cell
(cell type A) used during the campaign for sequential measurements of OH and HO$_2$ was
varied between \(\sim 1\) and \(9 \times 10^{13}\) molecule cm$^{-3}$; a measurement of the total [RO$_2$] was
determined simultaneously using the RO$_x$LIF cell C operating in RO$_x$ mode. The campaign
average diurnal profile of HO$_2$, alkene/aromatic or long-chain alkane-derived RO$_2$ and short-
chain alkane-derived RO$_2$ radicals selectively measured is provided in Figure 5. The [HO$_2$]
(red) and [RO$_2i$] (mustard, alkene and aromatic RO$_2$ species)) have been derived using
Equation 7 and 8 (i.e. from HO$_2$* signal observed when using cell A at high and low NO
flows; with the sensitivity to HO$_2$ and RO$_2i$ determined experimentally at the two NO flows
used). The C1-C3 alkane-derived [RO$_2$] (green) was determined from cell C detection of total
[RO$_2$] with the derived [HO$_2$] and [RO$_2i$] subtracted.In generating Figure 5, it was assumed
that all RO$_2i$ had the same conversion efficiency (\(\alpha\)) as ethene-derived RO$_2$. This assumption,
whilst reasonable for other RO$_2$ radicals derived from other alkenes or aromatic VOC, may
positively bias the [RO$_2i$] and negatively bias [HO$_2$] calculated if longer-chain alkane-derived
RO$_2$ (\(\geq\)C4) which have a lower \(\alpha\) were present at significant levels. Preliminary box
modelling studies run for the ClearfLo project, which were constrained by the measurements
of a wide range of VOCs of various classes, demonstrate that aromatic and alkene RO$_2$
species do dominate RO$_2i$, with \(\geq\)C4 alkane-derived RO$_2$ species only contributing 7 % to all
RO$_2i$ identified on average. For this particular environment at least (and likely applicable to
many others), determining HO$_2$ and RO$_2i$ by the methodology discussed here may provide
reasonable results.
An alternative approach to partial speciation of RO$_2$ radical classes would be to use two FAGE cells in which the RO$_2$ interference is minimised in the first (e.g. cell A, run at a low [NO]) and maximised in the second (e.g. cell C, HO$_x$ mode, run at a high [NO]).

4.3 Impact on previous field studies

The University of Leeds ground-based FAGE instrument has been operational since 1996 and has taken part in 17 campaigns with HO$_2$ measurements made during 13, see Table 3 for further details. In some of the earlier campaigns good conversion of HO$_2$ to OH was achieved as two independent cells were used [Smith et al., 2006], with the conditions of one cell optimised for HO$_2$ detection, and so a significant portion of interfering RO$_2$i, if present, may also have been titrated to OH, constituting an interference. Many of the previous campaigns took place under relatively clean, unpolluted conditions, for example EASE-96 [Carslaw et al., 1999a], EASE-97 [Creasey et al., 2002], SOAPEX [Creasey et al., 2003], NAMBLEX [Sommariva et al., 2004], CHABLIS [Bloss et al., 2010], RHaMBLe [Whalley et al., 2010] where the concentrations of RO$_2$i are likely low and methyl peroxy radicals, which do not give an interference [Ren et al., 2004], were expected to be the dominant RO$_2$ species; e.g. during EASE-96 the model predicted that 92% of peroxy radicals present were either HO$_2$ (53%) or CH$_3$O$_2$ (39%) during unpolluted conditions [Carslaw et al., 1999a]. Similarly, for the SOS project [Vaughan et al., 2012], which took place in Cape Verde, models predicted that ~90% of peroxy radicals were either HO$_2$ or CH$_3$O$_2$. In general, models run for these campaigns tended to over-predict HO$_2$ despite additional HO$_2$ loss mechanisms such as reaction with halogen oxides and/or heterogeneous loss to aerosol surfaces in the model description. In contrast, under polluted, urban conditions (e.g. PUMA [Heard et al., 2004], TORCH-1 [Emmerson et al., 2007]) models either significantly under-predicted HO$_2$ observations (PUMA) [Emmerson et al., 2005] or were in relatively good agreement (TORCH-1) [Emmerson et al., 2007]. If elevated concentrations of alkene-derived, aromatic-derived and higher-alkane derived RO$_2$ species were present, the true ambient HO$_2$ concentrations, as opposed to HO$_2^*$, were likely lower than reported. It is possible, although difficult to verify without observations of speciated RO$_2$, that the conclusions drawn from these observations, for example, that additional HO$_2$ sources in models are required to replicate observations, may be in error.
Under the operating conditions employed during the OP3 campaign, the instrument was relatively insensitive to detection of \textit{RO}_2 species. The experiments presented here reveal a 17\% yield of OH due to the decomposition of ethene-derived \textit{RO}_2 in the presence of NO in the FAGE detection cell under OP3 conditions. This provides an upper limit to the HO_2 yield from RO_2 species during OP3 as, under conditions in which the interference signal was maximised (Section 3.2), ethene-derived RO_2 species provided the largest HO_2 yield compared with other RO_2 species. Model simulations [Whalley et al., 2011] suggested that up to $2.1 \times 10^8$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ of potentially interfering RO_2 species were present at solar noon during OP3 (with isoprene derived peroxy radicals contributing ~60\% to this total), and thus up to $3.5 \times 10^7$ molecule cm$^{-3}$ of the HO_2 concentration may be attributed to these species (~10\% of the total HO_2 signal observed [Whalley et al., 2011]). Model comparisons with the radical measurements made during the campaign demonstrated a large missing OH source and over-predicted the HO_2 observations. The small positive bias on the HO_2 observations, owing to the small yield of HO_2 from RO_2 species, only serves to reduce the modelled to measured agreement further. For the HCCT-2010 campaign, the potential impact of the interfering RO_2 species is greater (Table 1) owing to the smaller cell (with a longer inlet) and longer residence time employed. The campaign took place in a pine forest, close to the summit of Mount Schmücke in the Thüringer Wald mountain range in East Germany, during September and October 2010. VOC measurements were made downwind of the measurement site. Only low concentrations of isoprene (50 pptv) were detected, however, suggesting that the concentration of RO_2i were also low.

5 Conclusions and further work

Recent studies conducted on a number of different fluorescence cells used in the FAGE instrument at Leeds have demonstrated that alkene- and aromatic-derived RO_2 species can yield appreciable quantities of OH upon addition of NO in FAGE detection cells and, therefore, positively bias HO_2 observations if left uncorrected. Many FAGE groups now report HO_2* for comparison with atmospheric chemistry box models to include any interference from RO_2i. As demonstrated in this study, the magnitude of this interference is critically dependent on the cell design, quantity of NO used in the titration, the residence time and mean temperature of the air stream within the FAGE cell. The interference may be minimised by reducing NO concentrations and/or residence time, and although such a reduction will also reduce the sensitivity of the instrument to HO_2 (albeit to a lesser extent
than the reduction in the sensitivity to RO₂ radicals) it will still be possible to detect ambient levels HO₂ using FAGE.

In laboratory, laser-flash photolysis experiments, under conditions optimised for complete conversion of RO₂ radicals to OH in a FAGE cell, the yield of HO₂ from a number of alkene-derived RO₂ species could be measured, and compared favourably with MCMv3.2 predictions. This suggests that the yield of HO₂ from other alkene-derived or aromatic-derived RO₂ species not tested here, but which are expected to exhibit high yields, could be determined from MCM predictions. The ability to discriminate between HO₂ and RO₂ radicals, as illustrated for the ClearfLo project, is not only of great value for field measurements (and subsequent model comparisons), but such instrumentation may be used to selectively determine the yield of HO₂ in laboratory experiments under conditions where RO₂ radicals may also be present. Important applications, for example, would be the experimental verification of a significant prompt HO₂ yield from OH initialised isoprene oxidation, as proposed by Peeters et al. or prompt HO₂ yields from OH initialised oxidation of aromatics.

This study demonstrates that some of the previous HO₂ measurements that depend upon chemical titration to OH by NO may suffer an interference due to partial detection of RO₂ radicals. Under conditions where there are significant alkene, aromatic or long-chain alkanes present, the HO₂* concentration which was measured will have been higher than the HO₂ concentration that was actually present. Models have over-estimated HO₂ concentrations under such conditions, and this over-estimation would only increase if the observations of HO₂ were corrected for the interference suggesting there is a major gap in our understanding of the chemistry controlling these radicals.
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Table 1: Experimentally determined OH yields (derived using Eq. 1) from peroxy radicals (RO₂→HO₂→OH) in continuous flow experiments for FAGE cells operated under fieldwork conditions and comparison with the MCMv3.2 OH yield. The modelled OH yield was determined using Eq. 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of peroxy radicals</th>
<th>Flow of NO (SCCM)</th>
<th>Cell A, OH yield</th>
<th>MCM OH yield (referenced to initial [RO₂]) assuming a reaction time of 0.9 ms, a temperature of 255K and [NO] = 1×10^{14} molecule cm⁻³</th>
<th>Cell B, OH yield</th>
<th>MCM OH yield (referenced to initial [RO₂]) assuming a reaction time of 1.9 ms, a temperature of 255K and [NO] = 1×10^{14} molecule cm⁻³</th>
<th>Cell C, OH yield</th>
<th>MCM OH yield (referenced to initial [RO₂]) assuming a reaction time of 70 ms, a temperature of 298K and [NO] = 9.5×10^{14} molecule cm⁻³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Residence time:</td>
<td>~0.9 ms (^1)</td>
<td>Residence time:</td>
<td>~ 1.9 ms (^3)</td>
<td>Residence time:</td>
<td>~ 60 ms (^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Typical NO</td>
<td>~0.9 ms (^1)</td>
<td>Typical NO</td>
<td>~ 1.9 ms (^3)</td>
<td>Typical NO</td>
<td>~ 60 ms (^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>flow used</td>
<td>during fieldwork: 50 SCCM (^2)</td>
<td>flow used</td>
<td>during fieldwork: 10 SCCM</td>
<td>flow used</td>
<td>during fieldwork: 100 SCCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>through pinhole: 4.8 SLM</td>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>through pinhole: 3 SLM</td>
<td>Flow rate</td>
<td>through pinhole: 3.5 SLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cell Pressure:</td>
<td>0.9 Torr</td>
<td>Cell Pressure:</td>
<td>1 Torr</td>
<td>Cell Pressure:</td>
<td>1.5 Torr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethene</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.057±0.033</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.463±0.030</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.073±0.029</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.098±0.025</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.157±0.047</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.172±0.057</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.947±0.073</td>
<td>0.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoprene</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.756±0.273</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.849±0.057</td>
<td>0.871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.178±0.075</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.849±0.057</td>
<td>0.871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.000±0.053</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.000±0.102</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.874±0.072</td>
<td>0.900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. determined by computational fluid dynamics, for further details refer to Creasey et al. (1997b).
2. experiments during which the NO concentration was varied from 10 – 50 SCCM and compared to modelled OH yields (see Fig. 4) suggest that NO that mixes into the air flow is actually 5.5 times less than the NO that is injected.
3. estimated from comparison of experimentally determined OH yield from ethane-derived RO₂ radicals and MCM-predicted yields.
Table 2: OH yields in time-resolved experiments from peroxy radicals determined using Eq. 2 and Eq. 3; the MCMv3.2 OH yield is provided in the final columns for comparison. The modelled OH yield was determined using Eq. 4 calculated after 9.8 ms integration time. The model was constrained with a \([\text{NO}] = 1\times10^{14} \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}\) and a temperature = 298 K (fourth column) or \([\text{NO}] = 1\times10^{14} \text{ molecule cm}^{-3}\) and a temperature = 255 K (final column).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of peroxy radicals</th>
<th>OH yield (referenced to methanol)</th>
<th>OH Yield (referenced to ethene)</th>
<th>MCM OH yield (referenced to initial ([\text{RO}_2])) at 298 K</th>
<th>MCM OH yield (referenced to initial ([\text{RO}_2])) at 255 K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoprene</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethene</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>0.034 ± 0.008</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butane</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Pentane</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Model : measured HO₂ ratio reported for the University of Leeds ground-based FAGE system during field campaigns where HO₂ measurements were made.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Campaign</th>
<th>Model-measurement agreement for HO₂</th>
<th>Cell-type</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EASE-96</td>
<td>Limited data, but tendency for model to overestimate HO₂</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(Carslaw et al., 1999a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AEROBIC</td>
<td>Modelled HO₂ was typically higher than observations, but observations showed a high degree of variability</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EASE-97</td>
<td>Modelled:measured ratio of 3.6</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(Carslaw et al., 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOAPEX</td>
<td>Modelled: measured ratio of 1.4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(Sommariva et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUMA-summer</td>
<td>modelled:measured ratio of 0.56</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(Emmerson et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUMA-winter</td>
<td>modelled:measured ratio of 0.49</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(Emmerson et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAMBLEX</td>
<td>Good agreement within combined uncertainties</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(Sommariva et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORCH</td>
<td>Good agreement within combined uncertainties; modelled:measured ratio of 1.07</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(Emmerson et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHABLIS</td>
<td>Model:measured ratio of 2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(Bloss et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHaMBLe</td>
<td>Good agreement within combined uncertainties</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(Whalley et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OP3</td>
<td>modelled:measured ratio of 1.75 (standard chemistry model)</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(Whalley et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCCT</td>
<td>Good agreement within combined uncertainties during the daytime</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>(Whalley et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClearfLo</td>
<td>modelling studies underway</td>
<td>A &amp; C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Schematics highlighting the key features of the three FAGE cells tested. Cell A was used for sequential OH and HO₂ detection during the OP3 project; dotted line highlights internal cell components. Cell B was used to make sequential tower-based measurements of OH and HO₂ during the HCCT campaign. Cell C represents the coupling of a reaction tube to a FAGE cell (cell A design) for detection of RO₂ radicals by LIF, see text for further details.

Figure 2: Schematic highlighting the key features of the laser flash-photolysis time-resolved experimental set-up.
Figure 3, upper panel: Time evolution of OH formed in the flow tube by laser-photolysis of O₃ in humidified air in the absence of reagent with no NO added to the FAGE cell. The observed decay of ~ 25 s⁻¹ may be attributed to reaction of OH with ozone and diffusion of the radical out of the photolysis beam area. Lower panel: Typical time-resolved experiments showing the OH signal from isoprene (green), ethene (red), methanol (grey), n-pentane (purple), n-butane (mustard) and propane (blue) derived peroxy radicals that was observed when 6 SCCM NO was added to the FAGE cell, and the relevant VOC reagent added to the flow tube to convert OH quantitatively to RO₂. The dashed line shows the fit to the OH signal from ethene-derived RO₂ radicals of the function:

\[ \text{OH signal} = y_0 + A \times \exp(-B \times \text{probe delay time}) \]

The signal decay (~ 5 s⁻¹) observed in the lower panel may be attributed primarily to diffusion of the radicals out of the photolysis beam area and to a lesser extent (~ 1 s⁻¹) to radical-radical reaction. The relative yields were determined from the ratio of the A factors. See Table 2 for the yields determined.
Figure 4: Modelled (dashed line) and measured (open diamonds) ratio of the OH yield from $\text{HO}_2$ signal : $\text{RO}_2$ signal as a function of NO concentration determined for cell A. For best agreement with model predictions, it has to be assumed that the NO concentration that mixes into the ambient air stream is 5.5 times lower than the amount actually injected. The error bars represent the fractional error associated with each measured ratio determined from the $1\sigma$ standard deviation of the experiments conducted.
Figure 5: Campaign averaged diurnal profiles of short-chain alkane RO$_2$ radicals (green), HO$_2$ (red) and alkene or aromatic or long-chain alkane derived RO$_2$ radicals (mustard) from the ClearfLo project which took place in London (North Kensington) from the 21st July to 18th August 2012.